



Received: 10 February 2026

Revised: 3 March 2026

Accepted: 10 March 2026

ENHANCING APPRENTICESHIP EFFECTIVENESS VIA LEARNING ECOLOGY: STRATEGIC OPTIMIZATION IN CHINESE VOCATIONAL EDUCATION SYSTEMS

Tian TIAN¹, Chalermchai PANYADEE^{1*}, Somkid KAEWTIP¹, Jariya KOMENT¹
and Pradtana YOSSUCK¹

¹ Maejo University, Thailand; mju6505501002@mju.ac.th (T. T.);
cpanyadee@yahoo.com (C. P.) (Corresponding Author); somkid@mju.ac.th (S. K.);
hyimmykoment@gmail.com (J. K.); pradtana@mju.ac.th (P. Y.)

Handling Editor:

Professor Madya Dr.Narentheren KALIAPPEN Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia
(This article belongs to Theme 2: Public Administration in Super Smart Society)

Reviewers:

1) Associate Professor Dr.Thanaporn SRIYAKUL MUT, Thailand
2) Dr.Nititorn WONGCHESTHA CTBU, China

Abstract

This study investigates the critical factors that influence the effectiveness of modern apprenticeships in Chinese vocational education through the lens of learning ecology. Employing an explanatory sequential mixed-methods design, the research integrates quantitative data from 438 apprentices with qualitative insights from 48 stakeholder interviews. The findings reveal a hierarchical structure of ecological influence, identifying perceived industry recognition and attitudes toward the dual-mentor system as the most significant predictors of apprenticeship success. Notably, the analysis uncovers a persistent theory-practice gap, fundamentally driven by fragmented institutional collaboration and misaligned curriculum designs. To address these systemic imbalances, this research proposes a comprehensive four-pillar strategic framework. This targeted framework advocates institutionalizing dual-mentor collaborations, establishing dynamic school-enterprise curriculum co-design mechanisms, developing regional skill standards to enhance market credibility, and launching a digital ecosystem platform for transparent resource sharing. Ultimately, this robust study effectively transitions learning ecology from a merely descriptive framework into a highly prescriptive managerial tool, offering vital, evidence-based strategies for institutional administrators to systematically optimize vocational training ecosystems and successfully foster sustainable workforce development across rapidly industrializing Asian economies.

Keywords: Apprenticeship Effectiveness, Learning Ecology, Vocational Education, Mixed-Methods Research, Strategic Optimization

Citation Information: Tian, T., Panyadee, C., Kaewtip, S., Koment, J., & Yossuck, P. (2026). Enhancing Apprenticeship Effectiveness via Learning Ecology: Strategic Optimization in Chinese Vocational Education Systems. *Asian Administration and Management Review*, 9(1), Article 10. <https://doi.org/10.14456/aamr.2026.10>

Introduction

The modern apprenticeship system serves as the cornerstone of China's vocational education reform, with the Chinese Vocational Education Law underscoring its institutional significance and the systematic path required for its advancement. Ultimately, the system aims to integrate apprentices' classroom learning with practical workplace experience seamlessly. While our previous research in Kunming confirmed the system's potential to improve employment stability, it simultaneously exposed a critical vulnerability: persistent skill mismatches that significantly undermine its overall effectiveness (Billett, 2020; Fuller & Unwin, 2011). This realization indicates that while structural implementation is a necessary foundation, it is not sufficient on its own; rather, the quality of dynamic interactions within the learning ecosystem is the decisive factor for success (Bronfenbrenner, 2005; Billett, 2020). To address this critical gap, the current study shifts the scholarly focus from merely evaluating training outcomes to systematically diagnosing the underlying causes of these mismatches and exploring actionable avenues for improvement.

Driven by this paradigm shift, two primary research questions guide this follow-up study: (RQ1) What learning ecological factors are significantly associated with the effectiveness of the apprenticeship system among vocational college students in Kunming, Yunnan, P.R. China? and (RQ2) What evidence-based strategies can be developed to enhance the effectiveness of this system by optimizing interactions within the learning ecology of these vocational colleges? By deepening the application of learning ecology theory (Fenwick, 2010; Billett, 2020), this paper aims to identify the systemic leverage points that drive apprenticeship success. To achieve this, the study integrates robust quantitative modeling with rich qualitative narratives drawn from apprentices, teachers, and enterprise mentors. This mixed-methods approach not only pinpoints the key ecological factors but also elucidates their complex interactions. Ultimately, this research bridges the theory-practice divide by co-developing a set of context-sensitive, evidence-based optimization strategies in direct collaboration with key stakeholders.

The structural challenges confronting China's apprenticeship system—particularly the persistent theory-practice gap and the complexities of school-enterprise collaboration—are not isolated phenomena. Similar 'skill mismatches' and ongoing efforts to elevate the prestige of vocational pathways have been extensively documented across the rapidly industrializing economies of Asia. For instance, Vietnam's strategic initiatives to modernize its Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) system to attract foreign investment, alongside India's 'Skill India Mission' which grapples with delivering scalable and high-quality training, provide highly relevant comparative contexts. Consequently, examining the Kunming case within this broader Asian landscape allows for a much more nuanced understanding of both context-specific ecological factors and the development of potentially transferable, evidence-based solutions for regional vocational education systems.

Literature Review

Theoretical Framework: A Diagnostic Learning Ecology Model

Grounded in the paradigm of learning ecology, this study conceptualizes vocational learning not as an isolated acquisition of skills but as a deeply relational, co-constructed process that emerges from dynamic transactions between learners and their multilevel environments (Fenwick, 2010). Building upon foundational research and the theoretical syntheses of Billett (2020) and Bruin et al. (2025), we operationalize the apprentice ecosystem across four interdependent dimensions:

- 1) Personal Dimension: Encompassing learner agency, self-efficacy, and intrinsic motivation.

2) Social Dimension: Focusing on the quality of interactions, particularly the collaborative efficacy of the dual-mentor (school teacher and enterprise mentor) framework and peer learning networks.

3) Cultural Dimension: Reflecting the institutional and policy climate, encompassing both implementation clarity and the overarching prestige accorded to vocational training by the industry.

4) Physical/Process Dimension: Integrating the availability of material resources (e.g., advanced equipment and facilities) with the pedagogical design of learning processes, including curriculum alignment and task authenticity.

Crucially, this framework functions not merely as a descriptive taxonomy, but as a robust diagnostic model. We hypothesize that the overall effectiveness of the apprenticeship system is not simply the additive sum of these individual components, but rather an emergent property derived from the synergy—or friction—among these dimensions. Systemic imbalances, such as pairing cutting-edge physical equipment with culturally or procedurally outdated curricula, serve as strong predictors of the skill mismatches previously identified in the literature (Schaap et al., 2012). Consequently, the dynamic interactive states of these four dimensions constitute both the core ecological factors to be empirically tested (RQ1) and the primary targets for our proposed optimization strategies (RQ2). By systematically diagnosing the synergistic or imbalanced states within each dimension, this study aims to deliver targeted, evidence-based interventions tailored specifically to the structural realities of vocational colleges in Kunming.

Vocational Education Reform in Asia: Comparative Contexts

The systemic challenges confronting China's apprenticeship framework echo broader regional dynamics evident across Asia's rapidly industrializing economies. In Vietnam, the discernible gap between existing curriculum content and the imperative requirements of Industry 4.0 strongly mirrors the theory-practice divide identified in Kunming. Within that context, the establishment of sector-based skills councils offers a compelling comparative model for the formal institutionalization of school-enterprise collaboration (Asian Development Bank, 2021). Similarly, India's Skill India Mission grapples with parallel structural hurdles, including fragmented mentor engagement, inconsistent training quality, and profound misalignments between national certification frameworks and actual labor market demands (Pilz & Regel, 2021).

Conversely, the Meister schools in South Korea illustrate that institutionalized mentor collaboration and proactive curriculum co-design—hypothesized as dominant predictors in the current study—can yield highly measurable enhancements in long-term employment outcomes, provided they are underpinned by sustained governmental commitment (Lee et al., 2016; Hanau et al., 2019). Furthermore, evidence from Indonesia highlights how the absence of structured communication protocols between vocational educators and enterprise trainers exacerbates collaborative deficiencies, directly paralleling the "insufficient institutionalized collaboration" diagnosed within the Kunming context (Paryono, 2017). Collectively, these comparative cases validate the premise that while individual Asian economies operate within distinct socio-political environments, underlying ecological factors—such as industry recognition, mentor collaboration, and curriculum alignment—function as universal leverage points. Thus, our four-pillar framework acts as a highly adaptable diagnostic tool capable of addressing diverse regional disparities across Asia.

Sustainability-Oriented Perspectives in Apprenticeship and Vocational Education

Recent scholarship increasingly emphasizes that the efficacy of vocational education and apprenticeship systems must be evaluated not solely through the lens of short-term employment metrics, but through their profound contributions to sustainable human capital development and regional socio-economic resilience (Nilsson, 2010). Viewed through the

paradigm of Education for Sustainable Development (ESD), optimal vocational learning cultivates highly adaptable skill sets, robust lifelong learning capacities, and socially responsible professional identities necessary to navigate labor market volatility. Within the domain of technical and vocational education and training (TVET), cultivating a "sustainable skills ecosystem" requires dynamic, continuous alignment among educational providers, industry stakeholders, policy institutions, and regional labor markets. Consequently, sustainable apprenticeship systems fundamentally rely on iterative feedback loops among pedagogical content, rapid technological advancements, and shifting industry skill demands, rather than on rigid, static curriculum structures.

From this vantage point, learning ecology offers an exceptionally comprehensive analytical framework, effectively bridging macro-level sustainable development goals with micro-level pedagogical processes and contextual nuances. By meticulously analyzing the interplay among individual agency, social interaction, institutional governance, and material resources over time, the learning ecological approach effectively diagnoses structural imbalances—such as persistent skill mismatches and fragmented school-enterprise cooperation—that threaten the long-term sustainability of apprenticeship systems. Thus, the sustainability of vocational apprenticeships must be re-conceptualized as an emergent characteristic of a highly coordinated learning ecosystem, rather than a siloed, standalone policy objective.

This sustainability perspective is deeply embedded within the empirically measurable outcomes of this study. Key indicators, such as employment stability and precise skill-job matching, directly reflect sustainable workforce development by ensuring the optimal utilization of human capital and promoting long-term labor market attachment. Additionally, the acquisition of professional certificates facilitates sustainable development by providing formally recognized, highly portable competencies that significantly enhance worker mobility and lifelong employability. Conversely, the persistent theory-practice gap represents a critical structural vulnerability that, if unmitigated, jeopardizes the adaptability and resilience of the future workforce. In response, the proposed four-pillar framework is meticulously designed to institutionalize continuous, reciprocal feedback loops between education and industry that are essential to maintaining a thriving, sustainable skills ecosystem.

Research Methodology

Research Design

To comprehensively address the complex research questions, this study employs an explanatory sequential mixed-methods design (Creswell & Clark, 2017). In the initial quantitative phase, a structured questionnaire was used to map the multi-dimensional learning ecological factors that predict apprenticeship effectiveness and to quantify the relationships among these variables systematically. Subsequently, the qualitative phase employed in-depth interviews, focus groups, and comparative case studies to elucidate the mechanisms underlying these statistical relationships empirically. This phased approach was deliberately selected to clarify complex inter-factor dynamics that purely quantitative metrics could not fully capture, ultimately facilitating the collaborative co-creation of targeted improvement strategies with key stakeholders.

Ethical Considerations

This study was rigorously conducted in strict accordance with established ethical principles for research involving human participants. All participants were adult volunteers who were thoroughly briefed on the study's specific objectives, the entirely voluntary nature of their participation, and their explicit right to withdraw at any time without adverse consequences. Prior to the commencement of data collection, written informed consent was formally obtained from all individuals. To unconditionally protect participant privacy, the data

collection processes were strictly anonymized; no personally identifiable information (e.g., names, institutional identification numbers, or contact details) was recorded on the survey instruments or qualitative transcripts. In reporting interview and focus group insights, all identifying contexts were meticulously redacted, and pseudonyms were systematically employed to ensure strict confidentiality. All acquired data were securely archived and made accessible exclusively to the core research team. Ultimately, the study design posed minimal risk to participants and was conducted as a formal component of educational practice and teaching-reform research.

Participants and Data Collection

The empirical investigation was securely anchored in five prominent vocational colleges in Kunming, strategically encompassing core industrial disciplines, including Mechanical Manufacturing and Computer Science.

1) Quantitative Phase: The quantitative strand employed a comprehensive survey methodology to examine the learning ecology factors associated with apprenticeship effectiveness critically. The target population consisted of students actively enrolled in modern apprenticeship programs across the five selected vocational colleges ($N = 82,900$). Using Slovin's formula with a 5% margin of error, the minimum required sample size was determined to be 398; however, to proactively account for potential nonresponse attrition, 438 questionnaires were distributed using a stratified sampling technique that was strictly proportional to each college's total enrollment. The structured questionnaire measured eight distinct learning ecology constructs and overall apprenticeship effectiveness using a standardized 5-point Likert scale. Prior to administration, rigorous expert review ($N = 7$) confirmed content validity, while a subsequent pilot test ($N = 45$) ensured precise item clarity. Key measurement items evaluated vital constructs, including theoretical achievement ("I am satisfied with the breadth of theoretical knowledge mastered"), certificate acquisition ("Professional certificates obtained are widely recognized"), skill achievement ("My professional skills have improved significantly"), skill matching ("My skills match current job requirements"), employment stability ("My company has stable development prospects"), and salary progression ("My starting salary exceeds that of non-apprenticeship graduates"). Reliability analyses yielded highly robust Cronbach's alpha coefficients ranging from 0.863 to 0.990, signifying good to excellent internal consistency across all measurement scales. Construct validity was firmly established through exploratory factor analysis (EFA), which yielded Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) values exceeding 0.70 and factor loadings consistently above 0.50. Furthermore, a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) executed on the effectiveness scale demonstrated an optimal model fit ($CFI = 0.951$, $RMSEA = 0.058$). Quantitative data were systematically analyzed using SPSS 26.0; descriptive statistics summarized students' overarching perceptions (overall effectiveness: $M = 4.06$, $SD = 0.45$); Pearson correlation analyses delineated relationships among ecological variables; and simple linear regression models were used to isolate the strongest predictors of perceived program effectiveness.

2) Qualitative Phase: The subsequent qualitative phase employed multi-modal data collection strategies to deepen the interpretation of the quantitative findings and collaboratively develop systemic improvement interventions. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with a purposive sample of 48 key stakeholders, deliberately including apprentice graduates, teaching faculty, college administrators, enterprise mentors, and corporate managers. All interviews were systematically audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. Focus group discussions with cohorts of students, educators, and enterprise representatives were subsequently organized to cross-validate preliminary analytical findings and collaboratively engineer actionable enhancement strategies. Additionally, a comparative case study analysis was systematically executed across the five vocational colleges. These institutions were purposefully stratified based on their intensity of dual-mentor collaboration (high versus low

cooperation groups) to granularly examine how varying configurations of ecological factors directly influenced concrete apprenticeship outcomes.

Qualitative data were meticulously processed utilizing thematic analysis via NVivo software. The analytical progression systematically moved from open coding (identifying recurring empirical concepts) to axial coding (aggregating related codes into robust categories aligned with the learning ecology framework) and ultimately to selective coding to refine and crystallize the core themes. To ensure absolute analytical reliability, the coding process was conducted systematically, with iterative reviews of the primary data and rigorous cross-coder consistency checks. Member checking was also conducted directly with participants to rigorously verify the accuracy and fidelity of the researchers' interpretations. Ultimately, the overarching trustworthiness of the qualitative phase was solidified through data source triangulation (synthesizing perspectives from students, teachers, and enterprise stakeholders), methodological triangulation (integrating insights from interviews, focus groups, questionnaires, and case studies), and comprehensive member checking.

Research Results

Correlation Analysis and Systemic Interdependencies

To systematically explore the complex interrelationships among the identified learning ecological factors, a Pearson correlation analysis was executed. The results, with all correlation coefficients reaching robust statistical significance at $p < .001$, revealed several critical systemic patterns governing the apprenticeship ecosystem.

First, the correlation between Perceived Industry Recognition and Overall Effectiveness was nearly perfect ($r = .949$), unequivocally highlighting the decisive, overarching role of external market validation in shaping the perceived success of the apprenticeship experience. Second, Policy Implementation Satisfaction and Interpersonal Relationships exhibited an exceptionally strong correlation ($r = .966$). This strongly suggests that a transparent, reliable, and rigorously communicated policy environment serves as the fundamental bedrock for cultivating the trust and social capital strictly necessary for productive learning relationships. This finding directly echoes recent governance-based analyses of modern apprenticeship implementation in China, which underscore the principal-agent dilemma and the pervasive policy coordination challenges structurally embedded in the relationship between educational institutions and corporate enterprises. Conversely, qualitative accounts revealed that policy ambiguity—such as unclear and opaque guidelines for internship subsidies—systematically erodes this foundational trust and fundamentally jeopardizes the functional efficacy of the entire ecosystem. Furthermore, Process Satisfaction was highly correlated with Satisfaction with Learning and Work ($r = .792$), indicating that a positive, well-structured pedagogical process directly elevates the perceived value of work-integrated learning. Collectively, these complex correlations empirically validate that the apprenticeship system operates not as a collection of isolated programmatic elements, but as a densely interconnected relational ecosystem.

Table 1 Pearson Correlation Matrix for Key Constructs

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1) Overall Effectiveness	—								
2) Perceived Industry Recognition	.949	—							
3) Attitude toward Dual-Mentor System	.867	.829	—						

4) Professional Theoretical Achievement	.756	.589	.634	—					
5) Professional Skill Proficiency	.693	.765	.606	.291	—				
6) Process Satisfaction	.579	.519	.459	.712	.288	—			
7) Satisfaction with Learning and Work	.521	.447	.403	.645	.235	.792	—		
8) Interpersonal Relationships	.408	.374	.345	.412	.253	.280	.202	—	
9) Policy Implementation Satisfaction	.416	.370	.349	.437	.239	.271	.187	.966	—
Mean	4.060	4.025	4.072	4.076	4.164	3.964	3.890	4.251	4.248
SD	0.451	0.458	0.455	0.603	0.611	0.500	0.366	0.568	0.579

Note: All correlation coefficients were significant at the $p < .001$ level. Key diagnostic correlations discussed in the text are in bold.

Diagnosing the Theory-Practice Gap

Despite the system's overall positive evaluation by participating students ($M = 4.06$), the multifaceted analysis revealed a persistent, critical theory-practice gap. The correlation between Professional Theoretical Achievement and Professional Skill Proficiency was notably weak ($r = .291$), providing stark quantitative evidence of severe structural misalignment. Additionally, Theoretical Achievement exhibited the largest standard deviation ($SD = 0.52$) among all measured constructs, indicating substantial disparities in students' equitable mastery of foundational knowledge across disparate programs and institutions. These metrics collectively point to a profound structural imbalance deeply embedded within the learning ecology (Imdorf, 2017).

Qualitative data triangulated these quantitative anomalies, attributing this profound disconnect to two primary, interrelated sources. First, Insufficient Institutionalized Collaboration means the dual-mentor framework often operates in a highly fragmented manner; multiple stakeholders noted that current cooperation relies heavily on ad hoc "personal connections and individual initiative". This reliance precipitates a fundamental misalignment of objectives, wherein academic institutions prioritize theoretical comprehensiveness while corporate enterprises demand immediate, operational efficiency. Second, Cultural-Physical Misalignment severely hampers practical application. Survey data clearly indicated that 41% of students were trained on outdated physical equipment, while academic curricula consistently lagged behind rapid industrial advancements. An enterprise mentor succinctly encapsulated this critical disconnect: "We have donated advanced equipment to the college lab, but the training projects are still based on older production models. There is a delay".

The tangible consequences of this systemic gap were starkly illustrated in the comparative case studies. High-cooperation institutions (Institutions A & B) successfully integrated practical enterprise projects into both classroom instruction and active internships, yielding a seamless, high-impact learning continuum. Conversely, in low-cooperation environments (Institutions C, D, & E), apprentices were frequently relegated to menial roles entirely disconnected from their academic curricula. As one frustrated automotive apprentice articulated: "I consistently aced complex CAM programming exams but spent entire internships just performing basic deburring of parts without any meaningful programming application".

Predictive Modeling of Apprenticeship Effectiveness

To rigorously isolate the dominant predictors of overall program effectiveness, a simple linear regression analysis was conducted. The results illuminated a distinct, irrefutable hierarchy of ecological influence.

Perceived Industry Recognition emerged as the paramount determinant, accounting for a remarkable 90.2% of the variance ($R^2 = .902$, $B = 0.935$, $p < .001$) in students' perceived program effectiveness. This robust finding underscores a fundamental reality: an apprentice's confidence in the educational system is inextricably linked to its perceived currency and tangible value within the external, competitive labor market. This systemic valuation is concretely realized during moments of market validation, as detailed by an electronics apprentice: "When I confidently showed my Advanced PLC Certification during the job interview, the employer immediately assigned me to core equipment maintenance tasks rather than peripheral support duties".

Closely following in predictive magnitude was the Attitude toward the Dual-Mentor System, which independently explained 75.1% of the variance ($R^2 = .751$, $B = 0.858$, $p < .001$). Qualitative insights illuminated the operational mechanisms underpinning this statistical relationship, revealing that an integrated mentorship framework serves as the primary pedagogical engine, permanently connecting abstract theory with concrete practice. For example, in the construction sector, on-campus mentors systematically elucidate complex principles of structural mechanics. In contrast, enterprise mentors contextualize this foundational knowledge on active construction sites, using real-world building projects to cultivate an intuitive, applied understanding of engineering. A mechanical engineering teacher further emphasized this indispensable complementary dynamic: "[Enterprise mentors] promptly corrected outdated machine tool parameter settings from the standard textbook, thereby avoiding the serious issue of 'teaching incorrect knowledge' that would otherwise undermine future workplace performance" (Tynjälä, 2008).

Beyond these dominant drivers, the regression framework confirmed that internal process factors—namely Professional Theoretical Achievement ($R^2 = .572$) and Professional Skill Proficiency ($R^2 = .480$)—provide essential foundational competency development, while external support constructs furnish the ecosystem's institutional bedrock. The convergent mixed-methods evidence leads to an inescapable conclusion: the market credibility bestowed by industry and the integrative pedagogical capacity of a fully collaborative dual-mentor system serve as the absolute, non-negotiable cornerstones of an optimized apprenticeship ecology.

Table 2 Simple Linear Regression Results of Learning Ecological Factors Predicting Students' Perceived Effectiveness of the Apprenticeship System

Independent Variable	Constant (B)	Std. Error	Unstandardized B	Std. Error	Standardized Coefficient (Beta)	t-value	p-value	R ²	Adjusted R ²	F-value	D-W Value
Professional theoretical score level	1.755	0.097	0.565	0.023	0.756	24.133	<0.001***	0.572	0.571	F(1,436) = 582.393	1.824
Professional Skills level test	1.930	0.107	0.511	0.025	0.693	20.061	<0.001***	0.480	0.479	F(1,436) = 402.443	1.095
Attitude toward dual mentor system	0.565	0.097	0.858	0.024	0.867	36.268	<0.001***	0.751	0.750	F(1,436) = 1315.372	1.924
Satisfaction with the process	1.990	0.141	0.522	0.035	0.579	14.842	<0.001***	0.336	0.334	F(1,436) = 220.287	1.497
Satisfaction with learning and work	1.561	0.197	0.642	0.050	0.521	12.737	<0.001***	0.271	0.270	F(1,436) = 162.227	1.696
Personal relations with others	2.683	0.149	0.324	0.035	0.408	9.328	<0.001***	0.166	0.164	F(1,436) = 87.009	1.464
Satisfaction with policy implementation	2.683	0.145	0.324	0.034	0.416	9.555	<0.001***	0.173	0.171	F(1,436) = 91.303	1.438
Perceived Industry Recognition	0.294	0.060	0.935	0.015	0.949	63.174	<0.001***	0.902	0.901	F(1,436) = 3991.114	1.312

Note: The dependent variable is students' perceived overall effectiveness of the apprenticeship system. Each row reports a simple linear regression model with one learning ecological factor as the independent variable. β denotes standardized coefficients; D-W refers to the Durbin-Watson statistic. All regression models and individual predictors are statistically significant at $p < .001$.

Stakeholder-Informed Strategy Co-Creation and Ecological Optimization

To translate these comprehensive diagnostic insights into actionable policy reforms, focus group discussions with students, teaching faculty, and enterprise managers were used to identify strategic optimization priorities collaboratively. A resounding stakeholder consensus emerged regarding the urgent imperative to comprehensively transition from ad hoc, informal cooperation to rigorous institutionalized integration, and to shift decisively from rigidly supply-driven pedagogical models to highly responsive, demand-driven skill alignment. Consequently, this study

proposes a robust four-pillar strategic framework designed explicitly to address the identified ecological leverage points and systematically rectify structural imbalances:

1) Institutionalize the Dual-Mentor Collaborative Engine: We strictly recommend the mandatory implementation of a formal "Dual-Mentor Joint Practice Protocol". This binding agreement must institutionalize (a) scheduled bi-weekly co-planning sessions to synchronize theoretical modules with impending practical tasks precisely; (b) a unified digital "teaching and learning log" to rigorously track cross-contextual student progression; and (c) a co-designed assessment matrix (e.g., weighting 50% on a theoretical project defense and 50% on standardized practical operations). *Rationale:* This directive directly targets the fragmented social collaboration identified as the root cause of the theory-practice gap, systematically replacing the precarious reliance on personal initiative with an inescapable, sustainable institutional architecture.

2) Establish Dynamic, Industry-Co-Designed Curriculum Updates: Vocational institutions must formally constitute "School-Enterprise Curriculum Committees" featuring mandated, significant representation from senior corporate technical experts. *Rationale:* This critical structural reform directly rectifies prevailing cultural-physical misalignments by ensuring that academic curricula (the learning process) are dynamically and continuously informed by the industry's rapidly evolving benchmarks (culture) and technological realities (physical resources), thereby structurally mitigating future skill mismatches.

3) Co-construct and Brand Regional Skill Standards: Vocational academies and leading regional enterprises should collaboratively engineer, publish, and rigorously promote localized competencies, such as the "Kunming Industry Skill Standards" for critical trades (e.g., Advanced Welding, IoT Device Maintenance). These advanced standards must delineate quantifiable, observable competencies (e.g., "weld point accuracy of $\pm 0.05\text{mm}$ ") and be inextricably integrated into all final certification protocols. *Rationale:* By endowing apprentices' credentials with unambiguous, widely trusted market currency, this pillar directly maximizes Perceived Industry Recognition—the most potent predictor of system effectiveness—thus effectively closing the critical loop between academic training and tangible labor market value.

4) Build a Digital Ecosystem Platform for Transparency and Resource Sharing: We strongly advocate the strategic deployment of a comprehensive "Kunming Vocational Education Digital Ecosystem Platform". This robust infrastructure should seamlessly integrate three core functions: (a) a transparent public portal hosting all operational policies, formal agreements, and subsidy frameworks; (b) a centralized digital hub for pedagogical resources, advanced technical manuals, and best-practice repositories; and (c) a formalized grievance and feedback mechanism featuring mandated institutional response protocols. *Rationale:* This digital infrastructure comprehensively neutralizes the informational asymmetries and policy opacities that currently undermine systemic trust (the critical Interpersonal-Policy nexus), while simultaneously optimizing the equitable, transparent distribution of physical and knowledge resources across the broader educational ecosystem.

Conclusion and Discussion

This study has systematically diagnosed the intricate learning ecology of the modern apprenticeship system in Kunming, successfully mapping its hierarchical structure and identifying its core systemic imbalances. Consequently, we have translated these diagnostic insights into a concrete, stakeholder-informed strategic framework explicitly designed to comprehensively optimize the ecosystem. We conclude that substantially enhancing the

effectiveness of vocational apprenticeships necessitates a fundamental paradigm shift: institutions must decisively transition from merely managing discrete programmatic components to intentionally steering the synergistic interactions embedded within the broader learning ecosystem. By systematically fortifying the critical linkages across social (mentorship), cultural (industry/policy), and physical-process dimensions, educational stakeholders can effectively transform apprenticeship from a basic pathway to initial employment into a highly resilient, adaptive engine for cultivating the advanced human capital essential for sustainable regional economic development.

Theoretical Implications: From a Flat Ecology to a Leveraged Hierarchy

This study significantly advances contemporary learning ecology theory by empirically demonstrating that its constituent dimensions are not equally weighted. The disproportionate predictive influence of Industry Recognition (Cultural Dimension) and the Dual-Mentor System (Social Dimension) reveals a distinct hierarchical, or "keystone," structure deeply embedded within the educational ecology (Bronfenbrenner, 2005). In this structured hierarchy, specific factors act as pivotal leverage points where highly targeted interventions can yield profoundly disproportionate systemic benefits. This critical finding refines existing theoretical models, forcefully suggesting that future academic applications must rigorously account for variable factor weights and strategic prioritization, fundamentally moving beyond a flat, egalitarian conceptualization of system components. Ultimately, this research translates these hierarchical insights into actionable, systemic governance guidance for vocational education reform, directly addressing the practical and theoretical gaps in optimizing contemporary apprenticeship frameworks.

Practical Implications: A Prescriptive, Systemic Pathway for Reform

Moving beyond mere diagnostic problem identification, this study offers a coherent, ecologically grounded prescription for systemic reform. The proposed four-pillar framework is intentionally designed to be tightly interconnected: institutionalized mentorship (Pillar 1) provides the structural foundation for effective curriculum co-design (Pillar 2), which directly informs the creation of credible, market-validated skill standards (Pillar 3), all of which are sustainably supported by a transparent digital infrastructure (Pillar 4). This cohesive, systemic approach successfully circumvents the well-documented pitfalls of isolated, piecemeal reforms that chronically fail to address the root causes of ecological friction. For educational policymakers and institutional leaders, this framework provides a highly actionable, evidence-based roadmap for navigating the critical transition from standard program administration to proactive ecosystem stewardship.

Scalability, Limitations, and Future Research

While the Kunming-specific reform strategy is fundamentally grounded in its unique operational background, the underlying diagnostic framework successfully isolates the hierarchical predictive factors of program effectiveness. It accurately maps the pivotal interdependencies among ecological variables. However, a primary limitation of this study is its cross-sectional, single-region design, which precludes explicit causal inference, despite observing highly robust statistical relationships between learning ecological factors and perceived apprentice effectiveness. Therefore, future longitudinal investigations are imperative to validate the causal efficacy of the four-pillar framework rigorously and to trace how the dynamic interactions among these predictive factors evolve structurally throughout the apprenticeship lifecycle.

Methodologically, this study used simple linear regression to isolate the unique predictive power of individual variables (e.g., industry recognition or the dual-mentor system) on overall effectiveness. While this approach successfully clarifies the hierarchical influence structure, it inherently oversimplifies the highly complex collinear relationships observed within the dataset. Future scholarly inquiries should employ advanced structural equation

modeling (SEM) to definitively untangle these intricate mediating and moderating dynamics, thereby providing a more mathematically rigorous perspective on the learning ecosystem. Furthermore, because the primary variables were derived entirely from student perceptions, this study proactively incorporated qualitative interviews and focus groups with multiple stakeholder groups to mitigate common method bias. Although this triangulation effectively neutralized major interpretive biases, future validation studies should prioritize collecting multi-source quantitative data, such as paired student-mentor surveys. Finally, the exclusive geographical focus on Kunming naturally limits the immediate universality of the findings. Future comparative studies evaluating distinct economic zones within China (e.g., eastern high-tech hubs versus central resource-based regions) or across broader Asian contexts (such as Vietnam and South Korea) are absolutely critical for rigorously testing the robustness of the observed relationships across highly diverse institutional "macro environments" (Pilz, 2016).

The Impact on Vocational Education Reform in Asia

Despite the acknowledged limitations, the core empirical findings and the overarching diagnostic methodologies deployed in this study hold profound strategic implications for vocational education reforms across the broader Asian economic landscape. The Kunming context serves as a highly illuminating case study for other rapidly industrializing Asian economies navigating complex educational transformations. The dominant systemic influence of "perceived industry recognition" starkly reflects a ubiquitous, cross-regional challenge throughout Asia: the chronic deficit of cultural prestige associated with vocational career trajectories when juxtaposed with traditional academic pathways.

Consequently, the proposed strategy of collaboratively engineering and branding regional skill standards (Pillar 3) is exceptionally relevant for nations such as Vietnam and Indonesia, where high labor mobility demands transparent, universally trusted skill certifications to protect workers and bolster employer confidence simultaneously. Additionally, the explicit diagnosis of a "theory-practice disconnect" stemming from highly fragmented school-enterprise cooperation directly mirrors the systemic hurdles thoroughly documented in India's "Skill India" mission and in Malaysia's ongoing initiatives to transform its TVET sector structurally. Therefore, the proposed four-pillar framework should not be interpreted as a rigid, one-size-fits-all panacea, but rather as a highly sophisticated, systemic diagnostic and strategic template. This adaptable template can be meticulously calibrated and rigorously tested against the unique administrative paradigms, cultural nuances, and industrial policy frameworks of diverse Asian nations, simultaneously providing a fertile, structured trajectory for future comparative educational research.

References

- Asian Development Bank. (2021). *Reaping the benefits of Industry 4.0 through skills development in Vietnam*. Manila: Asian Development Bank.
- Billett, S. (2020). *Learning in the workplace: Strategies for effective practice*. London: Routledge.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (2005). *Making Human Beings Human: Bioecological Perspectives on Human Development*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publication.
- Bruin, M., Tutlys, V., Ümarik, M., Loogma, K., Kaminskienė, L., Bentsalo, I., ... & Buligina, I. (2025). Participation and learning in Vocational education and training - a cross-national analysis of the perspectives of youth at risk for social exclusion. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, 77(3), 706-727.
- Creswell, J., & Clark, V. (2017). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research* (3rd ed.). California: SAGE Publications.

- Fenwick, T. (2010). Re-thinking the “thing”: Sociomaterial approaches to understanding and researching learning in work. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 22(1-2), 104-116.
- Fuller, A., & Unwin, L. (2011). Workplace Learning and the Organization. In M. Malloch, L. Cairns, K. Evans, & B. O'Connor (eds.). *The SAGE Handbook of Workplace Learning* (pp. 46-59). California: SAGE Publications.
- Hanau, E., Jeon, S., & Lee, H. (2019). Approaches to dual VET in tertiary education in South Korea. *BWP*, 48(SE), 47-49.
- Imdorf, C. (2017). Understanding discrimination in hiring apprentices: how training companies use ethnicity to avoid organisational trouble. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, 69(3), 405-423.
- Lee, K., Kim, D., & Lee, H. (2016). Is the meister vocational high school more cost-effective?. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 51(C), 84-95.
- Mikkonen, S., Pylväs, L., Rintala, H., Nokelainen, P., & Postareff, L. (2017). Guiding workplace learning in vocational education and training: A literature review. *Empirical Research in Vocational Education and Training*, 9, 9.
- Nilsson, A. (2010). Vocational education and training – an engine for economic growth and a vehicle for social inclusion?. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 14(4), 251-272.
- Paryono. (2017). The importance of TVET and its contribution to sustainable development. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1887(1), 020076.
- Pilz, M. (2016). Typologies in comparative vocational education: Existing models and a new approach. *Vocations and Learning*, 9, 295-314.
- Pilz, M., & Regel, J. (2021). Vocational Education and Training in India: Prospects and Challenges from an Outside Perspective. *Margin: The Journal of Applied Economic Research*, 15(1), 101-121.
- Schaap, H., Baartman, L., & de Bruijn, E. (2012). Students' learning processes during school-based learning and workplace learning in vocational education: A review. *Vocations and Learning*, 5, 99-117.
- Tynjälä, P. (2008). Perspectives into learning at the workplace. *Educational Research Review*, 3(2), 130-154.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as conflicts of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or a claim that its manufacturer may make, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. This is a fully open-access article distributed under the terms of the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).