A Qualitative Research: Reading Strategies in English Academic Reading
การวิจัยเชิงคุณภาพ: กลวิธีการอ่านภาษาอังกฤษเชิงวิชาการ

Rachanok Seangpakdeejit[1], Chaatiporl Muangkote Mungkunsan[2],
Phakhawadee Chaisiri[3], Ornpiya Maneekanon[4]
รักชนก แสงภักดีจิต[1], ชาติภร เมืองโคตร มุงคุณแสน[2],
ภควดี ไชยศิริ[3], อรภิยา มณีกานนท์[4]

Abstract

This qualitative study aims to investigate the reading strategies in English academic readings of 39 science-oriented undergraduates from four different government universities in Thailand. It focuses on how the participants employed reading strategies to improve their reading skills, solve the problems encountered while reading, and overcome comprehension failures. The data were collected with semi-structured interviews and were transcribed and analyzed with open and axial coding techniques. The results reveal two main emergent categories of reading strategies which were actual reading strategies (AR) and textual comprehension enhancement strategies (CE) with altogether 39 individual reading strategies.

Keywords: Academic Reading, Reading strategy, Science-Oriented Students

บทคัดย่อ

การวิจัยเพื่อคุณภาพครั้งนี้มีวัตถุประสงค์เพื่อสำรวจกลวิธีการอ่านภาษาอังกฤษเชิงวิชาการของนักศึกษาปริญญาตรีสาขาวิทยาศาสตร์ จากมหาวิทยาลัยของรัฐ 4 แห่ง จำนวน 39 คน โดยมุ่งเน้นไปยังกลวิธีการอ่านเพื่อพัฒนาทักษะด้านการอ่าน การแก้ปัญหาที่พบขณะที่อ่าน และการพัฒนาทักษะการอ่านเพื่อความเข้าใจ การวิจัยนี้เก็บข้อมูลโดยการสัมภาษณ์กึ่งโครงสร้าง และวิเคราะห์ข้อมูลจากการสัมภาษณ์โดยใช้เทคนิคการกำหนดรหัสเพื่อจำแนกข้อมูลและการเชื่อมโยงข้อมูลที่ให้รหัสแล้ว (open and axial coding

---

[1]Associate professor, lecturer of the Faculty of Liberal Arts, Khon Kaen University Nong Khai Campus
[2,3,4]Lecturer of the Faculty of Liberal Arts, Khon Kaen University Nong Khai Campus
Email: rakcsa@kku.ac.th[1], chaamu@kku.ac.th[2], phakha@kku.ac.th[3], ornpma@kku.ac.th[4]
[1]รองศาสตราจารย์ อาจารย์ประจำสาขาวิชาภาษาอังกฤษเพื่อการจัดการธุรกิจ คณะศิลปศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยขอนแก่น วิทยาเขตหนองคาย
[2,3,4]อาจารย์ประจำสาขาวิชาภาษาอังกฤษเพื่อการจัดการธุรกิจ คณะศิลปศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยขอนแก่น วิทยา

techniques) ผลการวิจัยพบว่านักศึกษาใช้กลวิธีแยกย่อย 39 กลวิธี ซึ่งสามารถแบ่งออกได้เป็น 2 กลุ่มใหญ่ คือ actual reading strategies (AR) และ textual comprehension enhancement strategies (CE)

คำสำคัญ: การอ่านเชิงวิชาการ, กลวิธีการอ่าน, นักศึกษาสาขาวิทยาศาสตร์

1. Introduction

According to Brumfit (1980, p. 3), reading has been defined as "an extremely complex activity involving a combination of perceptual, linguistic and cognitive abilities." While Brumfit (1980) only focused on the reader's abilities, Goodman (1995, p. 11) has seen reading as "a psycholinguistic guessing game," and defines reading as "a communication between the reader and the writer." Simply, Pikulski (1997) has explained reading as the process of constructing meaning through dynamic interaction among the reader's existing knowledge, the information suggested by the text being read and the context of the reading situation. In this study, reading strategies refer to any sets of learning processes, techniques, and behaviors; whether observable or unobservable, which science-oriented undergraduates reported employing for comprehending an English academic reading text either in or outside the classroom settings. It also includes the reading strategies that they used to improve their reading skills, solve the problems encountered while reading, and overcome their failures to fully comprehend the texts.

Research works on L2 reading indicate that reading is an interactive meaning-making process in which readers utilize a large number of strategies to achieve the goal of reading comprehension (Alderson, 2000; Anderson, 1999; Carrell, 1998). Many researchers have recognized the significant role of reading strategies in reading comprehension and thus have made attempts at identifying various reading strategies (Anderson, 1991; Block, 1986; Zhang & Wu, 2009). In a review of the current development in second language reading research, Grabe (1991) has pointed out that the crucial importance of the reading skill in academic contexts had led to considerable research on reading in a second language. The relationship of the use of strategies to success in mastering a second or foreign language, as well as to various variables, has been the focus of a growing body of research over the past two decades (Green & Oxford, 1995). Understanding the strategic reading behaviors of students can result in the rigorous construction of appropriate reading lessons.

In the previous studies, Adamson (1990, 1991, 1992) has found that ESL students from different academic and cultural backgrounds displayed a wide range of academic strategies. In other words, the students performed their academic reading tasks in ways they were influenced by their own academic backgrounds and culture. Their individual learning styles and the nature of the tasks assigned were also factors which could influence the students'

use of strategies. According to Li and Munby (1996), they have found that ESL academic reading was quite deliberate, demanding and complex process in which the students actively invoked a variety of strategies such as paraphrasing, repetition, using contextual clues to predict, looking for purposes and important information, in order to understand the contexts of the academic materials. Moreover, Sheorey and Mokhtari (2001) investigated the differences in the reported use of reading strategies of native and non-native English speakers when reading academic materials. The results revealed that both US and ESL students displayed awareness of almost all of the strategies included in the survey. Interestingly, both groups attributed the same order of importance to categories of reading strategies in the survey, regardless of their reading ability: cognitive strategies, followed by metacognitive strategies, and support strategies.

Although a number of such research works on L2 reading have increased interest in students' use of reading strategies, to date there has been limited qualitative research on EFL students' use of reading strategies at the university level. Also, very few studies in this area have been conducted in Thailand, particularly with the science-oriented undergraduates or students who study in Health Science, or Science and Technology in bachelor's degree level. As a result, it is essential to investigate this issue in Thailand, specifically in the university context.

English teaching and learning in Thailand

In the Thai educational system, English is a compulsory subject that students have to study since primary schools (Ministry of Education, 2002). English courses generally become more intensive in the high schools because passing an English examination is a prerequisite for further education. In terms of learning English as a foreign language (EFL), it is suggested that students were encouraged to be autonomous learners (Ampra & Thaithae). Therefore, teachers of English should implement reading strategy instruction in order to help students form good reading habits and become autonomous readers.

Focusing on the science-oriented undergraduates in Thailand, they begin reading lengthy and authentic academic texts in the second year of their study. In spite of the importance of English reading, Thai students' reading proficiency is quite low because of the limited use of English in the students' daily life. According to Silapasatham (1999), the teaching and the learning of languages in the Thai education system is in crisis because a great number of university graduates cannot use English effectively.

Since many universities benefit from academic materials written in English, English reading proficiency becomes an extremely important requirement for the students. The students are expected to understand what they read regardless of the subject matter they study. Therefore, reading skills are of significant importance in such environments (Ozek 2006).

By strengthening reading skills, EFL students will make greater progress and attain greater development in academic areas. As improving the ability to read an academic foreign language text might be difficult, complicated and time-consuming, any strategies that may make academic reading easier have been the subject of much interest.

Consequently, this study aimed to contribute better understanding of EFL academic reading and provide useful information for both EFL instructors and EFL students about the nature of EFL academic reading comprehension. By identifying the students' use of reading strategies, the present study may give direction for teaching and learning. Moreover, it may benefit teachers in selecting appropriate course materials for their students. In addition, the findings of this study are expected to generate some implications for EFL reading lesson in universities in Thailand. This study was also expected to find answers about strategies that the students used while reading academic texts such as reading textbooks, journal articles, class handouts. The two research questions are as follows:

1) What strategies do EFL students apply in order to understand the English academic reading?

2) How do they apply these strategies while reading?

2. Research Methodology

2.1 Participants

The total number of participants in this study were 39 science-oriented undergraduates from four government universities in four different regions of Thailand. At the time of data collection, the participants were enrolled in either ESP or EAP courses. All of them were willing to participate in the study. Although no specific criteria were used to select the participants, they had to come from either the field of Health Science or Science and Technology. Within this group, there were 23 Science and Technology students, and 16 Health Science students.

2.2 Data Collection

A semi-structured interview was used as the main instrument for data collection in order to elicit information about reading strategies employed by the participants. It is regarded as one of the most powerful ways that researchers employ to understand others (Punch 2005). One of the advantages of semi-structured interview is that interviewer can make clear the questions that are ambiguous to interviewees. Besides, it is flexible and suitable for interpretive research since in the semi-structured interview, the interviewer has a general idea of where he or she wants the interview to go, and what should come out of it, but does not enter the interview with a list of predetermined question (Nunan, 1992). The interviewer used a list of questions as guidelines rather than specific questions worded identically for each participant.

In order to investigate the reading strategies of the participants, a one-to-one semi-structured interview was conducted as the main method of data collection. The interview question guide comprised two parts which were the basic information and the reading strategies. The first part of the interview concerned with basic information of the interviewees was formed with the intention to (1) develop a good relationship and trust between the interviewer and the interviewees; (2) increase the interviewees' confidence in the interview scenario; and (3) reduce the interviewees' nervousness in the interview environment (Measor, 1985 cited in Intaraprasert, 2000). Then, to explore the students reading strategies they used while reading academic texts, the problems the students encountered while reading, and how they solved those problems, the second part of the interview focused on the students' reading strategies that they employed both inside and outside a language classroom. The questions used in the interview are as follows:

Part I: Basic information

- What is your name and area of study?

- What level of English courses have already studied/ are you studying now?

- How many hours per week do you attend English classes? Do you think this is sufficient?

- How many hours per week do you spend on studying English on your own? Do you think this is sufficient?

Part II: Reading strategies

- How do you view your reading ability?

- Do you think that the ability to read English academic texts is important for your life and future career?

- What do you find difficult in English academic reading?

- What are your problems while reading English academic material? How do you solve those problems?

- How do you discover the meanings of the unknown words while reading English academic texts?

- What reading strategies do you use in and outside classes in terms of before reading, while reading and after reading?

- What do you think about your reading study from your experience?

In order to avoid the misinterpretation or misunderstanding of the questions of interviewees, whose native language was Thai, and led to the incorrect responses, the interview was conducted in Thai. The interviews were held during the first semester of the 2008 academic year and the average length of each interview was about 30-40 minutes. At the beginning of the interview session, each interviewee was requested to choose and read one academic passage from various types of reading materials, such as required textbooks,

journal articles, and articles recommended by his/her instructor. In each interview, both the interviewee and the interviewer silently read a copy of the material in an average of 15 minutes. The interview started after both parties had finished reading the passage. In the interview, the participants were requested to answer and talk to the researcher about how they managed to achieve comprehension while reading academic texts, especially an understanding of those parts of the text which they found particularly difficult. The researcher asked the participants to identify any problems found while reading and how they solve those problems and achieve comprehension. Additionally, their responses guided the subsequent questions for the interview. For example, when unfamiliar vocabulary items were mentioned as being the difficulty in comprehending and understanding a particular text, the issues would be discussed including the ways in which the students solved and overcame that difficulty. All interviews were tape-recorded instead of taking notes. Then, all recordings were transcribed more or less verbatim after having finished interviews. Two strategies were used in order to increase the reliability and validity of the interview transcripts after having finished the first transcribing: 1) repeatedly listening to and transcribing the tape records of the interviews with two colleagues; and 2) equating the literal meanings of transcripts through back-translations by asking for assistance from friends who are university instructors.

2.2 Data Analysis

The transcribed interview data were analyzed with 'open and axial coding' techniques proposed by Punch (2005) and Strauss and Corbin (1998). According to Strauss and Corbin (1990 and 1998), coding serves to summarize, synthesize, and sort out the emergent themes in interviews. Strauss and Corbin (1998) have defined Open coding as "the analytic process through which concepts are identified and their properties (the general or specific characteristics or attributes of a category) and dimensions (the location of a property along a continuum or range) are discovered in data" and Axial coding as "the process of relating categories to their subcategories, termed "axial" because coding occurs around the axis of a category, linking categories at the level of properties and dimension." For qualitative researchers, coding means creating categories from interpretation of the data and examining the pre-formed categories based on the purpose of the investigation. The data collected for this study were analyzed through Open coding, i.e. process of breaking down, examining, comparing, conceptualizing, and categorizing data (Strauss and Corbin, 1990 and 1998) in order to take the data obtained apart and to examine the discrete parts for difference and similarities, moreover the axial coding was used in order to reassemble the data fractured during open coding. Then, the transcripts were carefully read for possible codes or categories relevant to the purposes of the study. Initially, hundreds of strategies emerged from the

interviews. Thus, these strategies were as far as possible summarized and grouped. Many of the reported reading strategies frequently mentioned by the participants were consistent with other research findings, e. g. use of background knowledge, context clues, translation, prediction, and so forth. However, three reading strategies reported by the participants were singled out because they were not specifically mentioned in any past research findings. These included looking for the parallel article(s) in Thai (if any), reciting vocabulary items in rhymes, and associating the sound of a Thai word with that of a new English vocabulary item. The reading strategies were then categorized based on the definition of reading strategies for the present study mentioned earlier. Categorized data were checked by the researcher's supervisor and three other colleagues.

3. Results

The data showed that the participants actively invoked a variety of strategies in order to achieve the academic reading texts. The analysis of the data revealed a total of 39 statements which the students reported employing while reading an English academic material. Then the 39 statements were classified into two main categories: 1) actual reading strategies (henceforward "AR"); and 2) textual comprehension enhancement strategies (henceforward "CE"). A brief description of each category and the number of items within each category are given below:

Category 1: Actual reading strategies (AR) refer to the actions and procedures that the reader employs when faced with academic reading materials (28 items). This category has been abbreviated as AR in order to apply a structure and reference system to the data. The strategies in this category can be divided into three purposes as follows:

Purpose 1: *Before doing actual reading strategies (BAR) or pre-reading strategies* are techniques which the students reported employing to comprehend the academic text before doing actual reading. The interview data revealed that the participants reported eleven strategies running from BAR1 to BAR 11 which were employed before starting reading. These strategies might aid them to get some information about what they were going to read. Furthermore, the interview data showed that the participants generally felt a lot of anxiety about academic reading because they were not capable of comprehending directly in texts written in English. Before reading, they needed to know something appeared in the texts, therefore the participants depended heavily on the BAR strategies. While reading something "for fun", they might not rely on BAR as much as they did with the academic reading. The eleven BAR strategies include:

BAR 1: Looking for unfamiliar vocabulary items and searching for
their meanings

BAR 2: Reading the title of the text

BAR 3: Going through the text quickly (Skimming)

BAR 4: Reading the first and the last paragraphs

BAR 5: Looking at pictures/charts/tables/figures that appear in the text

BAR 6: Looking at questions about the text (if any)

BAR 7: Scanning for main ideas

BAR 8: Thinking of one's background knowledge about the text

BAR 9: Reading the abstract or introductory part

BAR 10: Looking for the parallel article(s) in Thai (if any)

BAR 11: Predicting what might happen in the text

The examples of students' statements are as follows:

"I will look roughly through the whole passage, text, etc. which I am going to read for new words and underline them. Then I will look them up in a dictionary."

"I will glance through the text in order to check how many new vocabulary items appear. Then I will list all of them and look for their meanings. If I cannot discover the meaning of the vocabulary from my colleagues I will look up the meanings in a dictionary."

"I will read the title of the article in order to imagine what happens and think whether or not I already have some knowledge of that particular topic"

"I sometimes ask myself questions about the text based on its title, prior to my reading of the article. I will then try to answer my predicted questions"

"For my study, I have to read a large number of articles. When reading each text I begin by reading its abstract in order to discover what the article is generally all about."

For this purpose, the most frequently found strategy being reported was BAR 1 and BAR 8, respectively. The result showed that the problem of vocabulary was the most serious for this group of students. Most students reported employing dictionaries as the main instrument in searching for meanings. A large number of theories and research findings have emphasized the importance of using background knowledge in English reading. Li and Munby's study indicated that the participants gave much credit to the use of background knowledge in their L2 academic reading. They believed that background knowledge of the content was extremely important for reading and that lack of relevant background knowledge impeded their reading comprehension.

However, the participants realized that the use of BAR strategies were not necessarily effective at all times because in some situations such as in the examination, there was not enough time for pre-reading. Moreover, they stated that; though the reading strategies were

essential and useful in the process of academic reading comprehension, they did not receive any formal training in how to use strategies at school.

Purpose 2: *While doing actual reading (WAR) or while-reading strategies* are the actions and procedures readers use while working directly with the academic text. The students reported employing a range of specific strategies in order to understand and fully comprehend the academic text that they were reading. Eleven strategies running from WAR1 to WAR 11 emerged from the interview. The interview data revealed that the participants also depended heavily on the WAR strategies. Clearly the participants realized that the use of these emergent strategies played a very important role in reading. As EFL learners, they believed that they always employed at least one WAR strategy not only in reading academic materials, but also non-academic materials. These eleven strategies include:

WAR 1: Searching for the meanings of unfamiliar vocabulary items

WAR 2: Analyzing a sentence structure

WAR 3: Taking notes of the important information

WAR 4: Guessing the meaning(s) of the sentence(s) from the context

WAR 5: Rereading certain part(s) of the text

WAR 6: Reading certain part(s) of the text slowly

WAR 7: Skipping difficult part(s)

WAR 8: Highlighting important information or difficult vocabulary items by underlining

WAR 9: Highlighting important information or difficult vocabulary items by making symbol(s)

WAR 10: Translating the reading text into the student's first language

WAR 11: Making a summary of certain part(s) of the reading text in either Thai or
    English, or both

Some students' statements are shown below:

"Although I have already looked up the meanings of difficult words before starting reading, I will consult a dictionary again if I face new words while reading."

"If I have found some difficult parts while reading, I will try to read the whole text continuously. Then I will understand what I have read by predicting the meaning of the text from the context."

"I often ignore the difficult parts of a particular text if I can't predict the meaning of that section from the context. Later I will re-read that section in order to confirm my understanding."

"I will try to make myself understand the article by translating the text sentence by sentence—I feel that I have to use this technique in order to understand the reading. When

finishing each sentence, I will take a note of the translation. After finishing the whole text, I will attempt to understand the text by linking every sentence together."

As mentioned above, the participants reported using at least one WAR strategy in either their academic or non-academic reading. The most often reported strategies being used were WAR 1, followed by WAR 10, WAR 7, and WAR 4, respectively. The most serious problem in the lack of understanding of academic reading texts for this group of students is the unfamiliar vocabulary items.

Translating what is read in L2 into L1 is a unique strategy for L2 readers who use their first language as a base for understanding or producing the second language (O' Malley and Chamot, 1990). Although some students reported that they had obtained high scores in English proficiency test, they still reported employing translation as a strategy to overcome their academic reading tasks. Many participants reported that they often translated individual words into Thai. While reading, the participants were unable to think directly in English. Instead, they read in English and tried to look for the meanings of unknown words in Thai. The participants also stated that they did a lot of translating by writing Thai words above, below, or next to the unfamiliar English words in the text. This can be concluded that the students depended heavily on the translation process.

Moreover, the participants also reported that they did a lot of translating when they read English text, then they translated each word of the sentence into Thai and wrote the Thai words above, below, or next to the English sentence in the text. This can be concluded that the students depended heavily on the translation process.

While reading, many of the participants decided to skip some difficult parts they encountered for the reason that they did not want to waste a lot of time on those parts which could be skipped over without losing much comprehension. Besides, the students skipped the unknown words that were considered not essential to overall comprehension. The participants assumed that sometimes after having finished reading, they would ask their friends or instructors to make sure their comprehension of the whole text was accurate after skipping some parts.

According to Li and Munby (1996) found that the participants of their study were able to use the context clues in order to predict unfamiliar words or phrases in the texts being read. They tried to guess the meanings of the words or phrases by examining their relationship with other items in the sentence. In the present study, the participants reported that they were always encouraged to use context clues to predict the unknown words that they found in the texts that they have never been trained at school. Thus, they never success in predicting

the meanings of unknown words by using this strategy. The interview data also revealed that using context clues was one of the most favored reading strategies to predict the meanings of the words, however most of the predictions were incorrect. The participants said that sometimes after the predictions, they would look up the meaning of the words in the dictionary to make sure their predictions were accurate. Nevertheless, the students frequently found that their predictions were proved wrong. Therefore, they preferred to skip words that were considered difficult and not significant to the understanding of the entire text instead of predicting the meanings of words using context clues.

Purpose 3: *After having done actual reading* (*AAR*) or *post-reading strategies* are actions or techniques which the students use to make sure of their understanding after they have finished reading. These strategies are intended to aid the reader in comprehending the text after they have finished reading such as using some methods to search for the meanings of unknown words which were ignored while reading, discussing what was read with colleagues, or preparing a summary of what was read. Six strategies running from AAR1 to AAR 6 emerged from the interview. The interview data showed that the only half of the participants reported employing some strategies after finishing reading. In other words, the participants did not depend heavily on AAR strategies. In reading something for fun, they reported not to use any strategies after reading. These six AAR strategies are:

AAR 1: Searching for the meanings of new vocabulary items skipped while reading

AAR 2: Discussing the reading text with classmate(s) or friend(s)

AAR 3: Making a summary of the whole reading text

AAR 4: Retelling oneself or other people about what has been read

AAR 5: Reviewing one's own notes

AAR 6: Translating the reading text into Thai using Thai script

Some reported statements are shown below:

"After reading, I will reread the unknown words that have been found while reading. Then, I may look for the meanings of those unknown words that I have skipped over while reading using the dictionary or asking other people after reading."
"I like to talk with my friends after finishing reading. If I can't understand some parts, my friends can help me. On the other hand, I can try to explain the part on which they aren't clear."

"After I have finished reading in English, I translate the whole text into Thai. I have to use this technique in order to check how much of what I have just read I can understand."

Although many participants did not rely heavily on AAR strategies, six strategies were still reported to be employed after reading. Again, the finding has emphasized that the most serious problem reported by the participants in academic reading has been the problem of unfamiliar vocabulary items. Therefore, searching for the meanings of new vocabulary items skipped while reading (AAR 1) was reported to be employed the most frequently. It is followed by discussing what was read with the participants' classmates or friends (AAR 2), and making a summary of what was read (AAR 3).

Some participants stated that they were likely to talk and discuss what was read with their friends in order to check their understanding. While discussion, they shared their ideas of what they read. They compared the similarities and the differences between their understanding so that they did not misunderstand the context. Many participants added that when they could understand the text, they listed the important points into their notebooks and make a summary of what they read. Then they would be able to review it many times without reading the whole text.

Category 2: Textual comprehension enhancement strategies (CE) are tools to help the reader in understanding new vocabulary items found while reading (11 items). The strategies in this category can be divided into two purposes as follows:

Purpose 1: Strategies for solving problems dealing with unknown vocabulary items (*strategies for textual comprehension enhancement dealing with unknown vocabulary* or *CEUV*)

From the interview data, for most of the participants who were EFL students, the major problem in academic reading was the words which they did not know the meanings. They reported that they always suffered from deficiencies at the number of English vocabulary items which have influenced their reading comprehension. The interview data revealed that the participants reported five strategies running from CEUV1 to CEUV5 which were employed when they encountered unknown words while reading. They realized that the use of these emergent strategies played a very important role in reading. These strategies may aid them in comprehending what they were reading more easily.

As EFL learners, the participants believed that they always employed at least one strategy to aid them to know the meanings of unknown words not only in reading academic materials, but also non-academic materials. These emergent five strategies include:

CEUV 1:   Guessing the meaning of a new vocabulary item from the context

CEUV 2:   Looking at the root of an unknown vocabulary

CEUV 3:   Looking up the meaning of an unknown vocabulary item from electronic resources e.g. electronic dictionary (Talking dictionary), dictionary program in a computer, and the Internet

CEUV 4:   Looking up the meaning of a new vocabulary item in a dictionary either English – English or English – Thai

CEUV 5:   Asking for assistance

For example,

"While taking a reading test, I often encounter the problems of unknown vocabulary items. What I usually do is to predict the meanings of those words using the context."
  "I sometimes predict the meaning of new vocabulary items from their roots."
"Normally I take my talking dictionary with me to the reading class because I know that I can't read any English texts without knowing the meanings of vocabulary items. Therefore I always consult the talking dictionary….I also look up the meanings of unknown vocabulary items on the internet when I work in front of the computer."
"I always use a dictionary whenever I encounter new vocabulary items. I always look those words up in the dictionary as I believe that it is important not to ignore new vocabulary items."
"I may ask my teacher for the meanings of unknown words if they are technical words which can't be looked up from a general dictionary."

In this study, the participants depended mostly on their knowledge of vocabulary because they wanted to understand what they read. They also reported that they did not think reading was difficult if they knew the meaning of every word in the text. Therefore, they always employed some strategies to establish the meaning of every unknown word from the text. Unfortunately, they often employed ineffective strategies, e.g. predicting the meaning of unknown words from the context and often missing some important information. Thus, the strategies which the participants relied mostly on were looking up the meanings of unknown words from either electronic resources or normal dictionary which could always give them the correct definitions.

Purpose 2: Strategies to retain knowledge of newly-learned vocabulary items (*strategies for textual comprehension enhancement to retain knowledge of newly-learned vocabulary* or *CERKV*)

CERKV strategies are the actions and procedures the students employ after having learnt new vocabulary items in order to retain meanings of those items. Such strategies include:

CERKV 1:   Using new vocabulary items to converse with classmates and friends

CERKV 2:   Learning the meanings of new words by rote

CERKV 3:   Reciting vocabulary items in rhymes

CERKV 4:   Associating real objects with vocabulary items

CERKV 5:   Associating the sound of a Thai word with that of a new English vocabulary item

CERKV 6:   Tutoring one's classmate(s) or friend(s) the reading lessons

Some reported statements are shown below:

"I believe that after I know the meanings of new vocabulary items. If I use those words often in my daily life, I will become familiar with them and finally I will be able to remember them. I also try to talk with my friends by using the newly-learned words in our conversations."

"While reading, I always look some unknown words up in the dictionary. This can help me understand what I read….After knowing the meanings of those words, I try to memorize their meanings."

"After class, I usually write new vocabulary items that I have learnt in the lessons on pieces of A4 paper and stick them on the wall in my bedroom. I look at and memorize them when I walk pass. This can help me remember their meanings."

Most students reported not to rely mostly on these strategies. Although the interview data showed that the participants did not employ these strategies often, they realized that these strategies played a very important role in reading, especially academic reading. The purpose of these strategies is to expand and to retain the students' knowledge of English vocabulary. The most favored or most often reported strategy being used in order to retain the meaning of an unknown word was learning the meanings of new words by rote (CERKV 2), and this was followed by using new vocabulary items to converse with classmates and friends (CERKV 1).

Table 1 Description of researcher-constructed reading strategy questionnaire

Category	Description	Example	Item
1) AR	Strategies for textual comprehension in the actual reading		1-28
1.1 Purpose 1 (BAR)	Strategies employed to comprehend the text before doing the actual reading	Searching for the meanings of new vocabulary items (BAR 1); Reading the first and the last paragraphs (BAR 4)	1-11
1.2 Purpose 2 (WAR)	Strategies employed to comprehend the text while doing the actual reading	Taking notes the important information (WAR3); Guessing the meaning of the text from context (WAR 4)	12-22
1.3 Purpose 3 (AAR)	Strategies employed to comprehend the text after having done the actual reading	Making a summary of the whole reading text (AAR 3); Retelling oneself or other people about what has been read (AAR 4)	23-28

Table 1 (Cont.) Description of researcher-constructed reading strategy
Questionnaire

2) CE	Strategies for textual comprehension enhancement		29-39
2.1 Purpose 1: (CEUV)	Strategies for solving problems dealing with unknown vocabulary items found while doing the actual reading	Looking at the root of a new vocabulary (CEUV 2); Looking up the meaning of a new vocabulary item in a dictionary either English – English or English – Thai (CEUV 4)	29-33
2.2 Purpose 2: (CERKV)	Strategies to retain knowledge of newly-learned vocabulary items	Associating real objects with vocabulary items (CERKV 4); Tutoring one's classmate(s) or friend(s) about what was learnt in the reading class (CERKV 6)	34-39

4. Discussions and Implications

The data of this study clearly shows that the participants had an awareness of their activities while reading English academic materials. The information provided by 39 participants revealed that English academic reading in the tertiary level was a complex process in which they consciously employed the number of strategies. They employed these strategies in order to comprehend an English academic text by improving their reading skills, solving the

problems encountered while reading, and overcoming reading comprehension difficulties. This study is significant because it provides a detailed account of the reading strategies reported to be employed by the participants in their English academic reading. It may provide empirical support for future study on reading strategies.

In general, all participants demonstrated a reliance on dictionaries both electronic dictionaries and normal dictionaries in attempts to comprehend English academic text. This strategy has been identified as characteristics of less skilled readers (Bang and Zhao, 2007). Therefore, the finding revealed that most students could be classified as less skilled readers because they relied mostly on dictionaries. Contrastingly, Bang and Zhao (2007) stated that some participants reported employing contextual clues, discussion with classmates and friends, and help of peers or teachers as ways of achieving comprehension of English academic texts, all of which have been recognized as habits of more skilled reader.

The interview data revealed that the participants were able to monitor the use of reading strategies according to how much they could understand the text and how difficult the texts were. As has been mentioned earlier, the participants typically used various strategies to help them understand the texts. From the previous study of Block (1986), Sheorey and Mokhtari (2001) Lau (2006), and Bang and Zhao (2007) indicated that some were using contextual clues, use of background knowledge, asking for assistance and translation. Moreover, Adamson (1991) pointed out that reading strategies have played an important role in students' academic reading comprehension, and those strategies will not necessarily be the same as those employed by native English speakers, since EFL students can draw on their native language and on strategies they have developed in their own countries.

Based on the findings of this study, emergent tactics for overcoming English academic reading materials can be classified into 2 groups: 1) actual reading strategies (AR); and 2) textual comprehension enhancement strategies (CE). In classifying reading strategies for the present study, it was remarkable that the reading strategies in both categories always support each other. That is, the strategies which students reported employing in order to deal with unknown vocabulary items may help them improve their reading skills in general. In addition, the actual reading strategies which students reported employing to comprehend an academic reading text may help them discover the meanings of new vocabulary items. That is, the reading strategies under the two main categories have a spiral relationship rather than linear.

The most obvious implication of this study for EFL instruction derives from the findings that the most serious problem found while reading English academic material may be the problem of unknown vocabulary items. In other words, the students' serious problem is lack of knowledge of English vocabulary. This suggests that due to the demanding nature of vocabulary learning, the teaching of vocabulary may not be productive. Furthermore, the

findings revealed that the strategies taught in English classes may not be adequate to apply in English academic reading. Carrell (1991) has pointed out that effective language reading pedagogy must include not only training and practice in the use of strategies, but more importantly, information about the significance and outcome of these strategies and the range of their utility. EFL instructors should provide their students' knowledge of strategy use and then encourage them to use the strategies while reading. According to Grabe (1991), and Bang and Zhao (2007), readers have to employ a wide range of strategies in order to read efficiently.

Although the study provides substantial information about the use of reading strategies reported by science-oriented students, there was no clear evidence that the participants had finished assignments mechanically if they did not understand the reading materials. Therefore, it would be useful to have a future research work studying this case. Additionally, in replication, another pattern of reading strategy use may be discovered if a researcher will select other groups of students to participate in the future study; for example, students with different levels of English proficiency, students studying in different disciplines, and students having different cultural backgrounds.

5. References

Adamson, H.D. (1990). ESL students' use of academic skills in content courses.
     English for Specific Purposes, 9, 67-87.

Adamson, H.D. (1991). Academic competence. *English for Specific Purposes, 5,*
     55-79.

Adamson, H.D. (1992). *Academic competence: Theory and classroom practice.*
     New York: Longman.

Alderson, J. C. (2000). *Assessing reading*. Cambridge: Cambridge University
     Press.

Ampra, K. & Thaithae, C. (n.d). *Thailand: Curriculum planning, development*
     and reform. Retrieved January 15th, 2009, from www.ibe.unesco.org/curriculum/
     Asia%20Networkpdf/ndrepth. *pdf.*

Anderson, N.J. (1991). Individual differences in strategy use in second language
     reading and testing. *The Modern Language Journal*, *75*(4), 460-472.

Anderson, N.J. (1999). *Exploring second language reading.* Beijing: Foreign
     Language Teaching and Research Press.

Bang, H.J. & Zhao, C.G. (2007). Reading strategies used by advanced Korean and
     Chinese ESL graduate students: A case study. *The Reading Matrix, 7*(1), 30-50.

Block, E. (1986). The comprehension strategies of second language readers.
     TESOL Quarterly. 20(3): 463-494.

Brumfit, C. J. (1980). *Problems and principles in English teaching.* Oxford:
　　　　Pergamon.

Carrell, P.L. (1998). *Can reading strategies be successfully taught?* Retrieved
　　　　January 19th, 2009, from http://www.jalt-publications.org/tlt/files /98/mar/ carrell.html.

Carrell, P.L. (1991). Strategic reading. In J.E. Alatis (Ed.). *Georgetown University
　　　　Round Table on Language and Linguistics 1991.* Georgetown University Press.

Goodman, K. (1995). The Reading Processes. In Interactive Approaches to Second Language
　　　　Reading. pp. 11-12. In Carrell, Patricia L., and Eskey, David E., ed. 6th Ed. Cambridge:
　　　　Cambridge University Press.

Grabe, W. (1991). Current development in second language reading research.
　　　　TESOL Ouarterly. 25(3), 375-406.

Green, J. & Oxford, R. (1995). A closer look at learning strategies, L2
　　　　proficiency, and gender. *TESOL Quarterly, 29*(2), 261-297.

Intaraprasert, C. (2000). L*anguage learning strategies employed by engineering students
　　　　learning English at the tertiary level in Thailand.* Ph**.**D**.** dissertation, The University of
　　　　Leeds, England.

Lau, K**. (**2006**).** Reading Strategy Use between Chinese Good and Poor Readers**:** A Think**-**aloud
　　　　Study**.** *Journal of Research in Reading, 29***(**4**)**, 383**-**399**.**

Li, S. and Munby, H. (1996). Metacognitive strategies in second language
　　　　academic reading: A qualitative investigation. *English for Specific Purposes, 15*(3), 199-
　　　　216.

Ministry of Education. (2002). *The handbook for basic education: Foreign
　　　　language curriculum,National Education Act 2001.* Bangkok: Academic Department,
　　　　Ministry of Education.

Nunan, D. (1992). *Research methods in language learning.* Cambridge:
　　　　Cambridge University Press.

O'Malley, J.M. & Chamot, A.U. (1990). *Language strategies in second language
　　　　acquisition.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Ozek, Y. 2006. *A study on the use of cognitive reading strategies by ELT students.*
　　　　Retrieved December 9th, 2008, from http://www.asian-efljournal.com/pta_
　　　　august_07_ozec.php.

Pikulski, J. J. (1997). *Teaching word–identification skills and strategies:
　　　　balanced approach.* Retrieved June 2nd, 2005, from http://www.eduplace.com/rdg
　　　　/res/teach/def.html.

Punch, K.F. (2005). *Introduction to social research* (2nd ed.). London: SAGE
　　　　Publications Ltd.

Sheorey R. & Mokhtari, K. (2001). Differences in the metacognitive awareness of reading strategies among native and non-native speakers. *System*, *29*(4), 431-449.

Silapasatham, .(1999). *The development of teachers of English in primary schools.* Bangkok: The Office of the Education Council.

Strauss, A. and Corbin, J. (1990). *Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques.* NP: Sage.

Strauss, A., and Corbin, J. (1998). *Basic of quantitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing ground theory* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publishers.

Zhang, L.J. and Wu, A. (2009). Chinese senior high school EFL students' metacognitive awareness and reading-strategy use. *Reading in a Foreign Language*. *21*(1): 31-59